

This document has been written in line with the requirements of the RAPID gate two guidance and to comply with the regulatory process pursuant to Severn Trent Water's and Affinity Water's statutory duties. The information presented relates to material or data which is still in the course of completion. Should the solution presented in this document be taken forward, Severn Trent Water and Affinity Water will be subject to the statutory duties pursuant to the necessary consenting process, including environmental assessment and consultation as required. This document should be read with those duties in mind.

ANNEX E8 Design Principles

Grand Union Canal Transfer SRO

Affinity Water, Severn Trent Water, Canal & River Trust

Overarching	Principle	G2 Indicator	Where documented in G2 submission?	Target
Climate	reader knows to occursates, wrater is essential to all we and managing our response to climate change is a collective and urgent activity, Projects must be developed to work across companies and/or legislative boundaries to develope sustainable solutions and environmental enhancement for the wider benefit of society.	Evidence of collaborative working across companies. Evidence of working with Regulatory, Statutory (and, where	1.1 The CDR (Annex A1) details how the project is a collaboration between STW (source of treated effluent), Canal and River Trust (the canal transfer asset) and Affinity Water (recipient of the resource in the scheme). The gate two submission documents reports on how have continued to engage with the EA and NE throughout gate two, with regular meetings, input into scope, and presenting and receiving reviews on written reports	1.1. Collaborative working across companies and with stakeholders.
		practicable, local) stakeholders including Catchment Partnerships where appropriate.	1.2 The scheme is shown in CDR (Annex A1) to be delivered by 2030. Design principles and outcomes have been developed based on WRMP modelling (see Appendix A1) and making reference to the NIC Design Principles.	 Timely - preparation of proposals ready to construct in 2025-2030 will involve early and rigorous development of design objectives followed by proposals.
		 Design Vision and Principles informed by this engagement (Stages 1-6 of design process). 	1.3 The gate two submission records how the decision to select the site for treatment is alle was supported through engagement with the Environment Agone (EA). The submission notes how the scheme will provide a new revenue atteam for the Trust, enabling asset improvements to the existing GUC, which will extend the life and improve the performance of this valuable heritage asset.	 Alignment with other relevant environmental policy, plans and strategies such as Catchment Management and Local Nature Recovery Plans (see also Place 2).
	Resource and carbon efficient throughout: Projects shall seek to reuse existing assets, eliminate waste (including waste of water) and make efficient use of materials and transport across the whole of the project lifecycle.	1. Submissions to meet expectations of RAPID Gate 2 Guidance.	accordance with ACWG principles. There is commentary in the CDR and the Cost and Carbon report on opportunities to turther relates methodia and operational carbon in later stages of the design. 2.2 As noted in the CDR (Annex A1) this project is based on the principle of making used existing vactisewate assets and existing CRT assets, which gives opportunities for updating and improving the heritage assets of the canal. 2.3 Avoiding construction and minimising materials is based on the use of existing assets.	2.1. Lifecycle Carbon: Projects shall support the water industry commitment to achieve Net-Zero in terms of operational carbon in accordance with the industry roadmap. Projects must be efficient in embodied carbon in both construction and operation.
				2.2. Projects should investigate if existing infrastructure assets could be repurposed and reused.
Climate		 Namative on the SRO approach to avoiding and reducing the use of carbon and other resources and inclusion of the approach in the Design Vision and Principles. 		2.3. Projects should look to avoid unnecessary construction and minimise use of materials
Cirillate				2.4. Projects should seek to minimise the use and waste of water
Climate	Resilient and adaptable: Design for anticipated future demand at the appropriate scale. Build in the realience to abach and necover from the impacts of the extreme events and incremental stresses likely to arise from climate change.	 Submissions to meet expectations of RAPID Gate 2 Guidance noting the climate change scenario(s) the schemes have been designed to cope with. 	3.1 In the CDR we have considered relimine aspects for each of the project elements and proposed mitigations or actions to improve elements. The software as a whole is introduced to be a relief environy (readed diffuent should always be available because donestic consumption is prioritised in all circumstances of drought or other emergency event) for the supply risks forecast by ARW. 3.2 In nodal in the gate 2 automission that capacity requirements and utiliaution profiles have been used by ARW to be 100 ADD or the AVD or the AVD or the AVD output height scalar by arbit the single in the tension will be required to a scalar beam used by ARW to to 100 AVD that could be used year-ound. In the CDR the operational philosophy will be developed in the late asses to ensure that wates, energy & carbon efe will be minimised by tightly controlled operations and forecasting. 3.3 In the CDR (Annex A1) we mention the opportunity to substitute flows from CPR existing reservoirs with flows from the retrieve to daw down the reservoirs, meaning more storage in the system and more retellinos. 3.4 In the CDR (Annex A1) we neet that all route and alls options have been tested against current local plans so as to avoid conflict. 3.5 To be refined and developed at Gate 3: there may be opportunities for offline storage ponds to improve operational control by minimising pump switching.	3.1. Designs should be developed to include proportionate measures to anticipate future extreme events and stresses so that they can resist, absorb, recover and, where necessary, be adapted
				3.2. Designs shall support the digitisation of the network at a catchment level using data to inform design, optimise solutions and improve operational efficiency in real time.
		 Review of local plans and strategies that may impact resilience. (G2 or G3 depending on scheme maturity) 		3.3. Where proposals add to the resilience of the broader system this should be accounted for in its social value (see Value 3).
				3.4. The layout and design of specific elements of infrastructure should be taken in cognisance of planned future development of the immediate area.
				3.5. Deploy nature-based approaches to resilience wherever possible (see also Place 2).

Overarching	Principle	G2 Indicator	Where documented in G2 submission?	Target
People	operation.	1. Indicator for Target 1.1 to be decided by others	1.1 The gate two report confirms that the date WRSE Regional Plan requires a scheme Do d' 50 Mid in 2031/32, while a potential further equirement of 50 Mil Mid by 2040 to 2050. The GUC SR0 can be developed to next three solecitives. 1.2 At the Gate 2 level we have examined impacts of the scheme at high level against levels of social deprivation and we have considered a range of environmental and access improvements & the upgrade sites along the canal where there are optimisting for local cases. In the DDA access.	1.1. Reliable supply of water to customers
		 Initial appraisal of the scheme and its potential to contribute to the UN's Sustainable Development Goals - or other Social Value evaluation process (see also Value 2 and 3). 	1.3 It is noted in the gate two report that there are opportunities at many locations along the canal to provide wider benefit to the environment, local concumulties and canal user groups. Advantage could be taken of remediation and upgrading work along the route to improve interaction between the canal and the wider environment	1.2. Designs developed to maximise their social value.
		 Review of relevant regional/local policy and demographic information and narrative around how it has shaped the draft Vision and Principles for the option 		1.3. Proposals reflect local community views as to how they interact with and experience the infrastructure as far as possible
	nature and water conservation. Develop co-design approaches to aspects of the design of infrastructure and associated landscape where practicable.	 Summary of feedback from stakeholders (either project specific or received to date through the VRRMP/Regional Plan process) and narrative around how it has shaped the draft Vision and Principles for the option. 	eragagement as we move through the DCD process. 2.2 In the CRN experior on a range of exportunities which could be designed into the scheme at the canal work sites informed to some extent by early engagement with the Canal Users Group, representing boaters, kayakers, anglers and wildlife interests. 2.3 In the CRN we have referenced early engagement, but further engagement will be required at Gate 3 to ensure community where are fully taken into account.	2.1. Stakeholders and communities understand the need for the scheme and the nature/appearance of the proposed solution(s).
People		 Inclusion of engagement activities within the design programme of the project plan for Gate 3 and beyond showing adequate time for community (public) consultation to inform both site selection (where possible) and developed design. 		2.2. The views of local stakeholders have shaped the design, where possible.
		 The development of tools that will enable successful engagement (e.g. digital models for visualisation/animation, GIS systems, precedent pictures of similar schemes/components) - activity may occur at G2 or G3. 		2.3. Engagement and consultation with communities has influenced the design (including but not limited to site selection, layout, materials, detailing) making it more acceptable to them.
		4. Survey information on local needs and preferences in design		2.4. The project provides the public with information on the importance of water and/or nature conservation (e.g. through information boards, artwork or digital information)).
	Improve access and inclusion: Consider how people move around your works. Maximise opportunities to support active travel and improve recreasional access to waterside and green spaces that can improve outcomes for weltbeing, health, local economy, social inclusion and education	1. Mapping of interface with PRoW network*	3.2 In the CDR (Annex A1) and the Cost and Carbon report (Annex 1.11) we have considered sustainable transport options in particular for works along the canal banks but this will be refined further in Gate 3 onwards.	3.1. Find opportunities to improve people's health, wellbeing and understanding of the natural environment, through access to waterside and green spaces for recreational and other purposes (see Note 1).
People		2. Evidence of engagement with local access groups*		3.2. Maximise opportunities for workers to access sites via sustainable transport during construction and operation. Minimise disruption to travel routes in areas affected by a project during construction and operation.
		 Review of Local Cycling and Walking and Infrastructure Plans (LCWIPs) information or similar and note of how the project may impact/enhance it.* 		nia

Overarching	Principle	G2 Indicator	Where documented in G2 submission?	Target
	Take care: Develop proposals in the spirt of stewardship looking to both the part and future of each context to understand and develop its both the part and future of each context to understand and develop its partners to secure the long-term success of all measures.	 Evidence of place-based balanced, holistic and long-term decision making in the description of design considerations and development of design vision and principles. 	1.2 If the CDR we have searched allowance for good practices for surface value management and inflitzation. These will be forther developed in Gard 3 converts in order to optimise the widest possible range of benefits such as carbon sequestration, access and water quality/management.	1.1. Achieve Environmental Net Gain (ENG)
		Statement on SRO approach to achieving Environmental Net Gain within the Design Vision and Principles.		1.2. Adopt measures in the design that enhance the environment and help avoid future problems - e.g adoption of SuDS solutions that improve cooling, attenuate surface water run-off and improve infiltration and biodiversity.
		 Evidence of review of adopted (or emerging) spatial plans, strategies for the areas impacted by your works (May occur at G2 or G3 depending on scheme maturity). 		 Have clear and realistic long-term strategies for how operational and mitigation proposals will be managed and maintained. Develop partnerships with local communities where this has a mutual benefit.
		 Landscape/flownscape character assessments and approach to design specific to context. (May occur at G2 or G3 depending on scheme maturity). 		1.4. Develop proposals in light of a clear understanding of the area's landscape and history.
Place	Protect and promote the recovery of nature: Focus on the ole of whotesays, its capacity to account onder infrastructures and shape the second secon	 Statemets on your approach to achieving BNG and aspirations of controlled to the new of nature within Design Vision and controlled to the new of nature within Design Vision and Inflastrouture Strategies (energing) Local Nature Recovery Plans, catchment nanagement plans and other measures to improve watercourse quality. 	2.2 In the CDR we have proposed that to overcome any operational difficulties arising from changing flow rates we will investigate the use of offine portion (instruct based solutions) to reduce system 'hurtling'. Such ponds represent opportunities for multiple outcomes. 2.3 Af the Gate 2 CDR this is very high level but we have proposed some options at a number of the sites which can be developed in Gate 3 onwards. 2.4 This is for Gate 3 onwards: at this stage we have only identified land parcels and ownership, and have had no argagement with land owners.	2.1. Achieve at least 10% Biodiversity Net Gahr(BNG) 2.2. Deploy nature-based approaches to integration and mitigation as the first-choice solution where <u>ossibile</u> 2.3. When looking at options to provide compensations or enhancement prioritise measures that support achieving optiodecological continion for affected watercourses and bodies as a whele. When making an intervention, mitigate infrequent impacts by developing proposals that keep them local and abott lived. 2.4. Work with landowners and land managers to develop mutually beneficial solutions where practicable.
	Design all features beautifully, with honesty and creativity: Our utility infrastructure can be a source of pride and a positive contribution to its context. Develop proposals that reveal and celebrate its importance, provide visual delight and leave a positive legacy.	 Set out with opportunities and aspirations for high quality design within Design Vision and Principles. 	3.2 To be developed at Gate 3. 3.3 In the CDR we note that the canal is a heritage structure and all works will need to be developed sympathetically to Its heritage, access/recreational value.	3.1. Develop a utilities architecture that speaks to its purpose and enhances its context. This applies to buildings, structures and landscape.
Place		Development of a project plan stating how these aspirations will be developed/achieved.		3.2. Develop designs and, where appropriate, artworks that bring narrative (meaning), beauty and interest to the proposals.
		3. Favourable independent design review outcomes.		 3.3. Consideration of context in every aspect of design including its location, layout, form, scale, appearance, landscape, materials and detailing.

Overarching	Principle	G2 Indicator	Where documented in G2 submission?	Target
Value	Maximise embedded value: Work collaboratively across specialisms and with stakeholders to maximise the benefits of the scheme by being smart with the location and arrangement of elements and design of mitigation within the project scope and budget.	 Evidence of multi-disciplinary input into site selection, this may include architects, ecologists, artists, planning professions etc. 	1.1 In the CDR we refer to the optioneering and selection works which have had wide multidisciplinary inputs (ecologists, heritage specialists, hydrologists, planners) to identify obstacles and opportunities for the development. 1.2 The existing design in the CDR assumes peak capacity flows only for relatively about durations in summer months	1.1. Early multidisciplinary input informing a design that solves multiple problems at once.
		 Initial project and, where appropriate, site appraisals (including constraints and opportunities) undertaken by a multi-disciplinary team (steps 1-5 in design development process). 	1.3 In the CDR (Annex A1) we note that all route and alte options have been tested against current local plans so as to avoid conflict. 1.4 In the CDR we have assumed allowances for good practises for surface water management and infitration. These will be further developed in Gate 3 onwards in order to optimise the widest possible range of benefits such as carbon sequestration, access and water quality/management. 1.5 The use of loke ponds to support assist operation has been outlined in the CDR and other infrastructure opportunities will be explored at Gate 3.	1.2. Design of infrastructure capable of adaptation to reasonable future demands (see also Climate 3).
		 A statement within the Design Vision on the SRO's aspirations and capability to deliver embedded value which should include Social Value, BNG and ENS. 		1.3. Site selection processes and layouts that assist (or as a minimum, do not prevent) local development except where absolutely necessary.
				1.4. Reinstatement, landscape and mitigation proposals that improve the existing situation, - e.g. through better biodiversity, carbon sequestration, surface water infiltration and reduced run-off.
				1.5. Deliver benefits efficiently by exploiting the two-way relationship between infrastructure and natural capital to enable multiple benefits to be delivered simultaneously.
Value	Understand how you could provide additional value: Identify	 A description of potential opportunities to work with other projects/partners to achieve wider benefits. 		2.1. Strategic project selection is informed by cross-sectoral engagement to maximise social benefit and reduce the use of customers money (this may be engagement with other utilities that may be able to share pipeline trenches or land for renewables).
		 A statement within the Design Vision on the SRO's aspirations and capability to deliver additional value. 		2.2. Work closely with partners and focus on landscape scale schemes that improve hydrology, aquatic ecology and reduce/sequester carbon and provide opportunities for access to recreation and visual delight.
				2.3. Be honest and realistic with partners as to what you might be able to offer as an organisation.
Value	Capture and measure embedded and additional value. Here clear narraitwes about how you are contributing to society beyond the core scope of your project. Quantify these benefits to they can be considered meanipuly in conversation on value, financing and risk. Share your experience and knowledge widely.	 Details of the best-value metrics used in determination of the Regional Plans and WRMPs and a clear narrative on how these have influenced option selection so far. 		3.1. Gathering of project specific data and improvement in the tools we have to measure and monitor added and additional value across the sector.
		 Inclusion of a description within the project plan of how these will be developed and monitored at subsequent gates. 	And L 0 domment: 3.2 Section 3.7 of the galas have report notes that reuse of avisting canal assets avoids unnecessary construction and minimise the use of materials, resulting in carbon and cost benefits. The GUC can be construction ready by 0.3 2027, with an antient EOD dear of 0.2 2032, this is in live with equiprements in the dat Whete regional gala. Section 4.1 of the galas to report documents that the willingness-to-gay surveys understaten show that customers see value in accontrainties to inconstrainties associated with schemes similar to the GUC SRD such as a fabilities for	3.2. Full consideration of potential benefits in the Cost Benefit analysis and investment case for the SRO.
		3. Initial narrative (description) of the value of the scheme in plain English.	apportunities to incorporate low-cost benefits associated with schemes similar to the GUC SRO, such as facilities for waters, specifies and kayders, that provide access to exercise, feeh ar and metal hard that benefits. In addition, calcorers are the potential for environmental additions such as habital creation for watering with the used to the initial design infrastructure. The accord on of these aurorys with the used to inform states equited with using existing infrastructure. The calcorer of these aurorys with the used to inform states equited design stages in gate three orwards. 3.3 An acted in access of the scheme. The engagement approach through gate two has three main parts: * Activity to inform the development of the WRESE Regional Plan to ensure stakeholders understand how the GUC SRO, and other SROs, if within the strategic stakeholders on the scheme listelf to inform the feasibility assessments and conceptual design of the scheme. * Early engaging the neighbouring local automation along the canal, engaging Historic England and Highways England, and beginning to build a relationship with canal users.	3.3. Clear communication of value of the scheme to stakeholders, communities and within the industry